

UNITED ST/ DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and 1. Lemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPUCATION NUMBER FILING DATE ATTY, DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED APPLICANT 08/526,714 09/11/95 ADITYA ٧ 042390.P3026 EXAMINER 83M1/0326 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN PAPER NUMBER 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD ART UNIT SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES CA 90025 2315

	DATE MAILED: 03/26/97
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
OFFICE ACTION S	UMMARY
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL.	•
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal mat accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	respond within the period for response will cause
Disposition of Claims	
(Claim(s) / - \rightarrow /	Is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) /	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority	y documents have been
received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bur	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.6	C. § 119(e).
ttachment(s)	
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
	`
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE F	

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9/96)



Serial Number: 08/526,714

Art Unit:

Applicants are requested to provide the missing information of the related application on page 1 of the specification.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 fails to recite which parent claim it is depending on.

With respect to all the calims, the claims recite that the buffer is connected between a parallel side and a serial side. However, the claim combination fails to recite how the data flows through those two seides to reach the buffer and the network link. See calims 2 and 6 for examples, the flow of data is recited as though there are no parallel side and serial side on both sides of the buffer.

In line 3 of claim 6, "form" should be "from".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hausman inview of Copeland.

See at least Figures 1 -2 and 4-6 and the corresponding description in the specification of Hausman. Figures 1-2 show an adapter between a host system bus and a network link. The

Serial Number: 08/526,714

Art Unit:

adapter includes a FIFO for transceiving data between the system bus and the network link.

Figures 4-6 show how the FIFO is controlled so as to reduce latency in a manner as claimed.

Further with respect to claims directed to statistics recording, Figure 4B in Hausman shows that

statistics of the adapter are also recorded. Hausman does not explicitly show that data through the

adapter is required to be serially and parallelly converted. It is well known that host operates data

in parallel and the network link in serial and that a serial/parallel converter is required between a

host and a network link. Copeland teaches an adapter between a host and a network link. The

adapter contains an UART for parallel/serial conversion. From the teaching of Copeland, one of

ordinary skill in the art should readily recognize that Hausman's adapter also contains a

serial/parallel converter.

DAVID Y. ENG

ART UNIT 232

Page 3